

Dated: April 21, 2017

NOTICE PROVIDING FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPOSED RMBS TRUST SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, DATED AS OF NOVEMBER 30, 2016, AND MODIFIED AS OF MARCH 17, 2017 (THE “PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT”), FROM LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC. AND ALL AFFILIATED DEBTORS (THE “LBHI DEBTORS”).

THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT MATERIALLY AFFECTS THE INTERESTS OF HOLDERS OF CERTIFICATES, NOTES OR OTHER SECURITIES (THE “CERTIFICATEHOLDERS”) ISSUED BY THE RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIZATION TRUSTS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A HERETO AND FURTHER IDENTIFIED BY CUSIP NUMBERS ON THE WEBSITE LOCATED AT <http://www.LBHirmbssettlement.com> (THE “RMBS TRUSTEES’ WEBSITE”) AT THE TAB ENTITLED “LIST OF COVERED RMBS TRUSTS” (COLLECTIVELY, THE “COVERED RMBS TRUSTS” AND EACH A “COVERED RMBS TRUST”).¹ CERTIFICATEHOLDERS AND OTHER NOTICE RECIPIENTS SHOULD READ CAREFULLY THIS NOTICE AND THE MATERIALS REFERENCED HEREIN IN CONSULTATION WITH THEIR LEGAL AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN BY:

**Deutsche Bank National Trust Company
TMI Trust Company, successor to Law Debenture Trust Company of New York
U.S. Bank National Association
Wilmington Trust Company and Wilmington Trust, National Association**

EACH, IN ITS CAPACITY AS TRUSTEE, SEPARATE TRUSTEE, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE, OR OTHER SIMILAR CAPACITIES OF THE COVERED RMBS TRUSTS (COLLECTIVELY, THE “RMBS TRUSTEES” AND EACH AN “RMBS TRUSTEE”), TO THE CERTIFICATEHOLDERS.

THIS NOTICE CONTAINS IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATEHOLDERS AND OTHER PERSONS POTENTIALLY INTERESTED IN THE COVERED RMBS TRUSTS. ALL DEPOSITORIES, CUSTODIANS AND OTHER INTERMEDIARIES RECEIVING THIS NOTICE, AS APPLICABLE, ARE REQUESTED TO EXPEDITE THE RE-TRANSMITTAL TO CERTIFICATEHOLDERS IN A TIMELY MANNER.

This notice (the “Notice”) is given to you by the RMBS Trustees under certain applicable Trust Agreements or other similar agreements governing the Covered RMBS Trusts

¹ Any CUSIP numbers appearing in this Notice, Exhibit A hereto or on the RMBS Trustees’ Website have been included solely for the convenience of the Certificateholders. The RMBS Trustees assume no responsibility for the selection or use of such numbers and make no representations as to the correctness of the CUSIP numbers appearing herein or therein.

(the “Governing Agreements”). Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Proposed Settlement Agreement.

THE RMBS TRUSTEES’ MARCH 20, 2017 NOTICE TO HOLDERS AND THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

In a prior notice to Certificateholders dated March 20, 2017 (the “March 20, 2017 Notice to Holders”), the RMBS Trustees informed Certificateholders that, on March 17, 2017, a group of fourteen (14) institutional investors represented by Gibbs and Bruns LLP (the “Institutional Investors”) submitted to the RMBS Trustees a settlement offer from the LBHI Debtors (the “Settlement Offer”) concerning the Covered Loan Claims and in the form of the Proposed Settlement Agreement. The RMBS Trustees’ March 20, 2017 Notice to Holders referenced certain terms of the Proposed Settlement Agreement; please refer to the Proposed Settlement Agreement itself for the full and complete terms. A copy of the Proposed Settlement Agreement, together with a copy of the Institutional Investors’ letter to the RMBS Trustees concerning the Proposed Settlement Agreement, is available at the RMBS Trustees’ Website at the tab entitled “Certain Relevant Documents” (available at http://lbhirmsbsettlemnt.com/Settlement_Agreement.pdf). A copy of the March 20, 2017 Notice to Holders also is posted on the RMBS Trustees’ Website at the tab entitled “Notices” (available at <http://lbhirmsbsettlemnt.com/notice.pdf>).

Since the publication of the March 20, 2017 Notice to Holders, the RMBS Trustees have received questions relating to the Proposed Settlement Agreement. The RMBS Trustees are sending this notice to provide additional information, including information that is intended to respond to those questions.

CIRCUMSTANCES LEADING UP TO THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

On October 26, 2015, the Institutional Investors² and the LBHI Debtors informed the RMBS Trustees that they had reached an agreement (the “October 2015 Settlement Agreement”) that they wanted to deliver to the RMBS Trustees for their consideration that, if accepted by the RMBS Trustees, would settle the claims asserted in the RMBS Trustees’ proofs of claim against the LBHI Debtors. The Institutional Investors and the LBHI Debtors agreed to share the October 2015 Settlement Agreement with the RMBS Trustees only if the RMBS Trustees agreed to keep the agreement confidential. The RMBS Trustees agreed to keep it confidential and received a copy of the October 2015 Settlement Agreement.

Some of the material terms of the October 2015 Settlement Agreement, which the Institutional Investors and the LBHI Debtors had executed, included:

- the LBHI Debtors agreed to allow an unsecured Class 7 claim to settle the claims in the RMBS Trustees’ proofs of claim for \$2.44 billion in exchange for releases;

² The Institutional Investors at that time comprised a group of fifteen (15) institutional investors who were represented then, as now, by Gibbs and Bruns LLP.

- the RMBS Trustees were not permitted to disclose the offer unless and until they accepted it;
- the \$2.44 billion would be allocated based on each trust's estimated lifetime losses, except that estimated lifetime losses associated with Transferor Loans would be reduced by 99%; and
- the LBHI Debtors had the right to terminate the October 2015 Settlement Agreement if the RMBS Trustees opted out of the settlement as to a certain threshold of trusts.³

The RMBS Trustees worked with experienced counsel to consider the settlement offer and retained experts to advise the RMBS Trustees and their other experts: (i) the Honorable Anthony J. Carpinello (Ret.), a former Associate Justice of the New York State Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Third Department, to advise the RMBS Trustees and other experts as to issues relating to New York law; (ii) the Honorable Arthur Gonzalez (Ret.), the former Chief Judge for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, to advise the RMBS Trustees and other experts as to issues relating to bankruptcy law and process and the Federal Rules of Evidence; and (iii) Ronald Greenspan of FTI Consulting to serve as the “top-level” expert to advise the RMBS Trustees whether they should accept the settlement as to each applicable trust.

Between November 2015 and early February 2016, the RMBS Trustees worked with those experts to evaluate the October 2015 Settlement Agreement. In February 2016, the RMBS Trustees conveyed to the LBHI Debtors, based on preliminary work performed by experts, the number of trusts for which the RMBS Trustees might be advised to accept the settlement. Subsequently, the LBHI Debtors formally withdrew the October 2015 Settlement Agreement.

Beginning in the spring of 2016, the LBHI Debtors and the Institutional Investors participated in mediation. At the request of the mediator, the RMBS Trustees provided certain information to the mediator, on a confidential basis, to enable him to facilitate a revised settlement offer.

On November 30, 2016, the LBHI Debtors sent to the RMBS Trustees on a confidential basis a settlement agreement that the Institutional Investors and the LBHI Debtors had executed (the “November 2016 Settlement Agreement”) for consideration by the RMBS Trustees. The November 2016 Settlement Agreement, if accepted by the RMBS Trustees, would settle the claims asserted in the RMBS Trustees’ proofs of claim against the LBHI Debtors. The November 2016 Settlement Agreement included the following terms:

- the LBHI Debtors would file a motion with the Bankruptcy Court to estimate the RMBS Trustees’ claims at \$2.44 billion, if the RMBS Trustees would agree that their claims could be estimated by the Bankruptcy Court;

³ Pursuant to the terms of the October 2015 Settlement Agreement, the RMBS Trustees had the right to learn the opt-out threshold. Because the RMBS Trustees undertook to make the determinations whether to accept or reject the October 2015 Settlement Agreement on a trust-by-trust basis, they did not exercise their right to learn the opt-out threshold, as that threshold was not relevant to their trust-by-trust determinations.

- although the LBHI Debtors would argue that the estimation should be \$2.44 billion, the RMBS Trustees would be permitted to argue that the Estimation should be whatever amount they believed was warranted;
- the LBHI Debtors and the RMBS Trustees would agree that the Bankruptcy Court's Estimation of the RMBS Trustees' claims would become the value of the Allowed Claim, and neither party could appeal the decision; and
- an expert selected by the RMBS Trustees would determine the allocation of the Allowed Claim among the accepting trusts.

The RMBS Trustees were not authorized to disclose the November 2016 Settlement Agreement to third parties without the LBHI Debtors' prior written consent.

As contemplated in the November 2016 Settlement Agreement, the RMBS Trustees requested that Duff & Phelps LLP ("Duff & Phelps"), the financial advisory firm retained by the RMBS Trustees in the Bankruptcy Proceeding to assist the RMBS Trustees with the Protocol, prepare a reasonable allocation methodology and schedule. Based on its industry experience, familiarity with the loans at issue and the claims submitted in connection with the Protocol, Duff & Phelps provided the RMBS Trustees with an allocation methodology and schedule, which the RMBS Trustees sent to the Institutional Investors and the LBHI Debtors. Neither the Institutional Investors nor the LBHI Debtors provided any substantive comments or changes to the Duff & Phelps allocation methodology and schedule.

On March 17, 2017, the Institutional Investors submitted to the RMBS Trustees the Proposed Settlement Agreement, which reflected the Duff & Phelps allocation methodology and schedule in Section 3.04 and Exhibit H with no substantive changes. Following a February 22, 2017 decision of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York affirming a decision of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York expunging the RMBS Trustees' claims relating to Transferor Loans, the Proposed Settlement Agreement deleted from the November 2016 Settlement Agreement the resolution of the RMBS Trustees' claims involving Transferor Loans (and contemplated releases relating thereto) and, accordingly, reduced the amount that the LBHI Debtors would seek to estimate the Allowed Claim by \$24 million, from \$2.44 billion to \$2.416 billion. On March 24, 2017, the RMBS Trustees filed a notice of appeal of the District Court's decision to the Second Circuit.

The Institutional Investors and the LBHI Debtors, not the RMBS Trustees, negotiated the initial \$2.44 billion amount and subsequently the \$2.416 billion amount. At the Estimation Proceeding, the RMBS Trustees intend to argue that the Allowed Claim for Covered Loan Claims should be set in an amount greater than \$2.416 billion.

In addition, the Proposed Settlement Agreement contained the following modifications to the November 2016 Settlement Agreement that the RMBS Trustees had requested:

- the RMBS Trustees were permitted to disclose the Proposed Settlement Agreement to Certificateholders promptly after receipt and were given additional time to solicit Certificateholder feedback for their experts and themselves to consider before the Acceptance Date;

- although the LBHI Debtors are waiving their appellate rights under all circumstances, the RMBS Trustees may appeal if the Bankruptcy Court estimates the Covered Loan Claims at less than \$2 billion;
- if the Bankruptcy Court estimates the Covered Loan Claims between \$2 billion and \$2.416 billion, the Allowed Claim would be set at \$2.416 billion;
- the RMBS Trustees bargained for detailed procedures for the Estimation Proceeding that are memorialized in Exhibit G to the Proposed Settlement Agreement and are required to be approved by the Bankruptcy Court, including (i) that the Hearing (as defined in Exhibit G) shall be scheduled for at least 14 hearing days, or a total of 98 hours, on the record and (ii) the LBHI Debtors will be allotted 7 days (or a total of 49 hours) to present their case, including rebuttals, and 7 days (or a total of 49 hours) will be allotted to the RMBS Trustees; and
- the RMBS Trustees are not required to accept or reject the Proposed Settlement Agreement as to all Covered RMBS Trusts; rather, any RMBS Trustee (i) may accept the Proposed Settlement Agreement as to certain Covered RMBS Trusts and (ii) has the right, but not the obligation, to terminate the Proposed Settlement Agreement as to one or more of its Accepting Trusts in the event the applicable Accepting Trustee has been directed, before the 9019 Objection Deadline, to terminate the Proposed Settlement Agreement as to such Accepting Trust or Accepting Trusts in a manner acceptable to the Accepting Trustee, but only for the Accepting Trust or Accepting Trusts for which such a direction has been provided.

As stated in the RMBS Trustees' March 20, 2017 Notice to Holders, if the RMBS Trustees' rejection of the Settlement Offer as to Covered RMBS Trusts exceeds a threshold agreed upon by the Institutional Investors and the LBHI Debtors (but not disclosed in the Proposed Settlement Agreement), then the LBHI Debtors may terminate the Proposed Settlement Agreement. The RMBS Trustees do not know what threshold of opt-outs by Covered RMBS Trusts would give the LBHI Debtors the right to terminate the Proposed Settlement Agreement. As with the October 2015 Settlement Agreement, because the RMBS Trustees have undertaken to make the determinations whether to accept the Proposed Settlement Agreement on a trust-by-trust basis, they have not exercised their right to learn the opt-out threshold because it is not relevant to their trust-by-trust determinations.

Also as stated in the March 20, 2017 Notice to Holders, counsel for the RMBS Trustees retained the Honorable Judith Fitzgerald (Ret.), the former Chief Judge of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, to advise the RMBS Trustees on the reasonableness of the Proposed Settlement Agreement for each Covered RMBS Trust as a means for resolving the claims asserted by the RMBS Trustees against the LBHI Debtors.

ANY CERTIFICATEHOLDERS WHO WISH TO HAVE THEIR VIEWS CONCERNING WHETHER THE RMBS TRUSTEES SHOULD ACCEPT OR REJECT THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR THEIR RELATED COVERED RMBS TRUST(S) TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE RMBS TRUSTEES AND/OR THEIR EXPERTS ARE REQUESTED TO CONTACT THE RMBS TRUSTEES IMMEDIATELY AND CERTAINLY NO LATER THAN MAY 5, 2017. **GIVEN THE IMPENDING DEADLINES,**

THE RMBS TRUSTEES LIKELY WILL NOT BE IN A POSITION TO MEANINGFULLY CONSIDER, IF AT ALL, VIEWS OF CERTIFICATEHOLDERS OR OTHER INFORMATION THAT THEY RECEIVE AFTER MAY 5, 2017. PLEASE COMMUNICATE WITH THE APPLICABLE RMBS TRUSTEE(S) USING THE CONTACT INFORMATION OF SUCH RMBS TRUSTEE AVAILABLE AT THE RMBS TRUSTEES' WEBSITE AT THE TAB ENTITLED "RMBS TRUSTEES' CONTACT INFORMATION" (AVAILABLE AT http://lbhirmssettlement.com/trustee_contact.php).

As of the date of this Notice, none of the RMBS Trustees has made any final determination, on behalf of the Covered RMBS Trusts for which it serves as trustee, as to the reasonableness of, or the advisability of accepting, the Proposed Settlement Agreement. Although the RMBS Trustees are working together in their evaluation of the Proposed Settlement Agreement, each RMBS Trustee will assess the Proposed Settlement Agreement and make its own decision as to whether to accept or reject the Proposed Settlement Agreement on behalf of each Covered RMBS Trust for which it serves as trustee.

Certificateholders are encouraged to check the RMBS Trustees' Website regularly for updates that may impact particular Covered RMBS Trusts or groups of Covered RMBS Trusts.

OTHER MATTERS

This Notice references certain terms of the Proposed Settlement Agreement, the October 2015 Settlement Agreement and the November 2016 Settlement Agreement, respectively, and is not a complete summary or statement of the material terms thereof, of relevant law, or of relevant legal procedures. Certificateholders and other potentially interested persons are urged to review carefully the Proposed Settlement Agreement and to consider its implications, including without limitation the releases of the Covered Loan Claims and other actual or potential claims related to Covered Loans. The RMBS Trustees may send further notices with respect to the matters addressed herein and developments relating to the Settlement Offer, all of which will be made available at the RMBS Trustees' Website at the tab entitled "Notices" (available at <http://lbhirmssettlement.com/notice.php>). You may also obtain any documents filed with the Court on the docket for the Chapter 11 Cases by logging on to PACER at <https://www.pacer.gov> (password required) or by visiting LBHI's claims agent website at <http://www.lehman-docket.com> (no password required).

For inquiries, or to furnish any direction pursuant to the Governing Agreements with respect to the matters discussed herein, Certificateholders are directed to contact the applicable RMBS Trustee using the contact information of such RMBS Trustee available at the RMBS Trustees' Website at the tab entitled "RMBS Trustees' Contact Information" (available at http://lbhirmssettlement.com/trustee_contact.php). Certificateholders will be required to verify their holdings before receiving information from the applicable RMBS Trustee. Please be advised that with respect to any particular inquiry from individual Certificateholders, an RMBS Trustee may conclude that a specific response to such inquiry is not consistent with requirements under applicable law and regulation of equal and full dissemination of information to all Certificateholders.

Certificateholders and other persons interested in the Covered RMBS Trusts should not rely on the RMBS Trustees, their counsel, experts or other advisors retained by the RMBS

Trustees, as their sole source of information. Certificateholders and other potentially interested persons are urged to consult with their own legal and financial advisors.

Please note that this Notice is not intended and should not be construed as investment, accounting, financial, legal, tax or other advice by or on behalf of the RMBS Trustees, or their directors, officers, affiliates, agents, attorneys or employees. Each person or entity receiving this Notice should seek the advice of its own advisors in respect of all matters set forth herein.

Please be further advised that each of the RMBS Trustees reserves all of the rights, powers, claims and remedies available to it under the Governing Agreements and applicable law. No delay or forbearance by an RMBS Trustee to exercise any right or remedy accruing upon the occurrence of a default, or otherwise under the terms of the Governing Agreements, other documentation relating thereto or under applicable law, shall impair any such right or remedy or constitute a waiver thereof or an acquiescence therein.

Receipt of this Notice should not be construed as evidence or acknowledgment of any requirement applicable to, or of any right or authority on the part of any recipient under the Governing Documents to direct, the matters addressed herein, or of any obligations on the part of any RMBS Trustee with respect thereto, and each RMBS Trustee expressly reserves all rights in determining appropriate actions and requirements concerning these matters.

Each of the RMBS Trustees expressly reserves all rights in respect of each applicable Governing Agreement, including without limitation its right to recover in full its fees and costs (including, without limitation, fees and costs incurred or to be incurred by such RMBS Trustee in performing its duties, indemnities owing or to become owing to such RMBS Trustee, compensation for such RMBS Trustee's time spent and reimbursement for fees and costs of counsel and other agents it employs in performing its duties or to pursue remedies) and its right, prior to exercising any rights or powers in connection with any applicable Governing Agreement at the request or direction of any Certificateholder, to receive security or indemnity satisfactory to it against all costs, expenses and liabilities that might be incurred in compliance therewith, and all rights that may be available to it under applicable law or otherwise.

Deutsche Bank National Trust Company
TMI Trust Company, successor to Law Debenture Trust Company of New York
U.S. Bank National Association
Wilmington Trust Company and Wilmington Trust, National Association

EXHIBIT A

Covered RMBS Trusts

EXHIBIT A

TRUSTS

<u>No.</u>	<u>Trust Name</u>
1	ARC 2002-BC10
2	ARC 2002-BC8
3	ARC 2002-BC9
4	ARC 2004-1
5	BNC 2006-1
6	BNC 2006-2
7	BNC 2007-1
8	BNC 2007-2
9	BNC 2007-3
10	BNC 2007-4
11	LABS 2004-1
12	LABS 2007-1
13	LMT 2005-1
14	LMT 2005-2
15	LMT 2005-3
16	LMT 2006-1
17	LMT 2006-2
18	LMT 2006-4
19	LMT 2006-8
20	LMT 2006-9
21	LMT 2007-1
22	LMT 2007-10
23	LMT 2007-2
24	LMT 2007-3
25	LMT 2007-4
26	LMT 2007-5
27	LMT 2007-6
28	LMT 2007-7
29	LMT 2007-8
30	LMT 2007-9
31	LMT 2008-2
32	LMT 2008-6
33	LXS 2005-1
34	LXS 2005-10
35	LXS 2005-2
36	LXS 2005-3
37	LXS 2005-4

38 LXS 2005-6
39 LXS 2005-8
40 LXS 2006-1
41 LXS 2006-10N
42 LXS 2006-11
43 LXS 2006-12N
44 LXS 2006-13
45 LXS 2006-15
46 LXS 2006-17
47 LXS 2006-19
48 LXS 2006-20
49 LXS 2006-3
50 LXS 2006-5
51 LXS 2006-7
52 LXS 2006-8
53 LXS 2006-9
54 LXS 2007-1
55 LXS 2007-10H
56 LXS 2007-11
57 LXS 2007-12N
58 LXS 2007-14H
59 LXS 2007-15N
60 LXS 2007-16N
61 LXS 2007-17H
62 LXS 2007-18N
63 LXS 2007-20N
64 LXS 2007-3
65 LXS 2007-5H
66 LXS 2007-6
67 LXS 2007-7N
68 LXS 2007-8H
69 LXS 2007-9
70 RLT 2008-AH1
71 SAIL 2003-BC1
72 SAIL 2003-BC10
73 SAIL 2003-BC11
74 SAIL 2003-BC12
75 SAIL 2003-BC13
76 SAIL 2003-BC2
77 SAIL 2003-BC3
78 SAIL 2003-BC4
79 SAIL 2003-BC5
80 SAIL 2003-BC8

81 SAIL 2003-BC9
82 SAIL 2004-1
83 SAIL 2004-10
84 SAIL 2004-2
85 SAIL 2004-3
86 SAIL 2004-4
87 SAIL 2004-5
88 SAIL 2004-6
89 SAIL 2004-8
90 SAIL 2004-9
91 SAIL 2005-1
92 SAIL 2005-10
93 SAIL 2005-11
94 SAIL 2005-2
95 SAIL 2005-3
96 SAIL 2005-4
97 SAIL 2005-5
98 SAIL 2005-6
99 SAIL 2005-7
100 SAIL 2005-8
101 SAIL 2005-9
102 SAIL 2005-HE3
103 SAIL 2006-1
104 SAIL 2006-2
105 SAIL 2006-4
106 SAIL 2006-BNC3
107 SARM 2004-10
108 SARM 2004-16
109 SARM 2004-18
110 SARM 2004-20
111 SARM 2004-5
112 SARM 2004-9XS
113 SARM 2005-11
114 SARM 2005-12
115 SARM 2005-15
116 SARM 2005-17
117 SARM 2005-20
118 SARM 2005-22
119 SARM 2005-23
120 SARM 2005-3XS
121 SARM 2005-6XS
122 SARM 2005-8XS
123 SARM 2006-1

124 SARM 2006-10
125 SARM 2006-11
126 SARM 2006-12
127 SARM 2006-2
128 SARM 2006-3
129 SARM 2006-4
130 SARM 2006-5
131 SARM 2006-6
132 SARM 2006-7
133 SARM 2006-8
134 SARM 2006-9
135 SARM 2007-1
136 SARM 2007-10
137 SARM 2007-11
138 SARM 2007-2
139 SARM 2007-3
140 SARM 2007-4
141 SARM 2007-6
142 SARM 2007-8
143 SARM 2008-2
144 SASCO 2003-12XS
145 SASCO 2003-15A
146 SASCO 2003-17A
147 SASCO 2003-18XS
148 SASCO 2003-25XS
149 SASCO 2003-26A
150 SASCO 2003-28XS
151 SASCO 2003-29
152 SASCO 2003-30
153 SASCO 2003-34A
154 SASCO 2003-35
155 SASCO 2003-36XS
156 SASCO 2003-38
157 SASCO 2003-39EX
158 SASCO 2003-3XS
159 SASCO 2003-6A
160 SASCO 2003-GEL1
161 SASCO 2003-NP1
162 SASCO 2003-S1
163 SASCO 2003-S2
164 SASCO 2004-10
165 SASCO 2004-11XS
166 SASCO 2004-13

167 SASCO 2004-15
168 SASCO 2004-16XS
169 SASCO 2004-17XS
170 SASCO 2004-18H
171 SASCO 2004-19XS
172 SASCO 2004-20
173 SASCO 2004-21XS
174 SASCO 2004-22
175 SASCO 2004-23XS
176 SASCO 2004-2AC
177 SASCO 2004-4XS
178 SASCO 2004-6XS
179 SASCO 2004-7
180 SASCO 2004-9XS
181 SASCO 2004-GEL1
182 SASCO 2004-GEL2
183 SASCO 2004-GEL3
184 SASCO 2004-NP1
185 SASCO 2004-S2
186 SASCO 2004-S3
187 SASCO 2004-S4
188 SASCO 2005-1
189 SASCO 2005-10
190 SASCO 2005-11H
191 SASCO 2005-14
192 SASCO 2005-15
193 SASCO 2005-17
194 SASCO 2005-2XS
195 SASCO 2005-3
196 SASCO 2005-4XS
197 SASCO 2005-5
198 SASCO 2005-7XS
199 SASCO 2005-9XS
200 SASCO 2005-GEL2
201 SASCO 2005-GEL3
202 SASCO 2005-GEL4
203 SASCO 2005-RF1
204 SASCO 2005-RF2
205 SASCO 2005-RF4
206 SASCO 2005-RF5
207 SASCO 2005-RF6
208 SASCO 2005-RF7
209 SASCO 2005-S1

210 SASCO 2005-S2
211 SASCO 2005-S3
212 SASCO 2005-S4
213 SASCO 2005-S5
214 SASCO 2005-S6
215 SASCO 2005-S7
216 SASCO 2005-SC1
217 SASCO 2006-BC2
218 SASCO 2006-BC3
219 SASCO 2006-BC4
220 SASCO 2006-BC6
221 SASCO 2006-GEL1
222 SASCO 2006-GEL2
223 SASCO 2006-GEL3
224 SASCO 2006-GEL4
225 SASCO 2006-RF1
226 SASCO 2006-RF2
227 SASCO 2006-RF3
228 SASCO 2006-RF4
229 SASCO 2006-S1
230 SASCO 2006-S2
231 SASCO 2006-S3
232 SASCO 2006-S4
233 SASCO 2006-Z
234 SASCO 2007-BC1
235 SASCO 2007-BC2
236 SASCO 2007-BC3
237 SASCO 2007-BC4
238 SASCO 2007-BNC1
239 SASCO 2007-GEL1
240 SASCO 2007-GEL2
241 SASCO 2007-MLN1
242 SASCO 2007-OSI
243 SASCO 2007-RF1
244 SASCO 2007-TC1