

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In the matter of the application of

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, WELLS
FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,
WILMINGTON TRUST, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,
WILMINGTON TRUST COMPANY, and CITIBANK,
N.A. (as Trustees, Indenture Trustees, Securities
Administrators, Paying Agents, and/or Calculation Agents
of Certain Residential Mortgage-Backed Securitization
Trusts),

Petitioners,

For Judicial Instructions under CPLR Article 77 on the
Administration and Distribution of a Settlement Payment.

Index No. 651625/2018

Crane, J.

**NOTICE OF
[PROPOSED] PARTIAL
SEVERANCE ORDER
AND PARTIAL FINAL
JUDGMENT**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Petitioner Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (“Petitioner” or “Wells Fargo”), as Trustee and Payment Administrator in certain No Appearance Settlement Trusts¹ listed on Exhibit 1 to this proposed judgment (the “Subject No Appearance Trusts”), moves for entry of a judgment issuing a judicial instruction concerning the distribution of the settlement funds Petitioner has received from the Lehman bankruptcy case for the Subject No Appearance Trusts. The undersigned attorneys represent Wells Fargo, which serves as the Trustee and Payment Administrator for the Subject No Appearance Trusts. For the reasons set forth in the proposed judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1, Petitioner respectfully urges the Court to enter the attached proposed judgment.

¹ The No Appearance Settlement Trusts are trusts for which none of the certificateholders who have appeared in this matter have claimed an interest, as described more fully in the Court’s Interim Order Concerning Settlement Trusts for Which No Parties Have Appeared ([Doc. No. 106](#)).

Dated: New York, New York
June 23, 2023

**THOMPSON COBURN
HAHN & HESSEN LLP**

By: /s/ Brigitte R. Rose
Zachary G. Newman
Stephen J. Grable
Brigitte R. Rose
488 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Tel: (212) 478-7200

-and-

**FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE &
REATH LLP**

Clay J. Pierce
1177 Avenue of the Americas, 41st Floor
New York, New York 10036
Tel: (212) 248-3140

Stephen M. Mertz (admitted pro hac vice)
2200 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Tel: (612)766-7000

*Attorneys for Petitioner
Wells Fargo Bank, National Association*

Exhibit 1

At IAS Part 60 of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, held in and for the County of New York, at the Courthouse located at 60 Centre Street, New York, New York on the ____ day of _____, 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In the matter of the application of

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, WILMINGTON TRUST, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, WILMINGTON TRUST COMPANY, and CITIBANK, N.A. (as Trustees, Indenture Trustees, Securities Administrators, Paying Agents, and/or Calculation Agents of Certain Residential Mortgage-Backed Securitization Trusts),

Petitioners,

For Judicial Instructions under CPLR Article 77 on the Administration and Distribution of a Settlement Payment.

Index No. 651625/2018

Crane, J.

**[PROPOSED] PARTIAL
SEVERANCE ORDER
AND PARTIAL FINAL
JUDGMENT**

WHEREAS, the Petitioners identified in the above case caption commenced this proceeding under CPLR Article 77 by filing a petition (the “Petition”) seeking judicial instructions concerning the administration and distribution of settlement funds Petitioners have received from the Lehman bankruptcy case (the “Settlement Payments”) for residential mortgage-backed securities trusts identified in Exhibit A to the Petition (the “Subject Settlement Trusts”) under a Covered Loan Settlement Agreement dated as of November 30, 2016 and modified as of March 17, 2017, and a Transferor Loan Settlement Agreement dated September 5, 2017 and executed and accepted on October 13, 2017 (together with the Covered Loan Settlement Agreement, the “Settlement Agreements”); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Settlement Agreements, the Settlement Payments are to be apportioned among the Settlement Trusts, including the individual loan groups therein and classes of principal only certificates therein, based on “Allocable Shares” calculated in an expert report as indicated in Exhibit F to the Petitions²; and

WHEREAS, by Order to Show Cause dated April 20, 2018 (the “Order to Show Cause”) and Interim Order dated May 30, 2018 (collectively, the “Escrow Orders”), the Court authorized and directed the Petitioners to place the Settlement Payments for the Settlement Trusts in escrow; and

WHEREAS, the Court has been advised that the escrow provisions of the Escrow Orders have been complied with and that the Settlement Payments for the Settlement Trusts, plus any investment earnings thereon, are currently invested as directed in the Escrow Orders; and

WHEREAS, under the Order to Show Cause, the Court directed the Petitioners to provide notice of this proceeding pursuant to the notice program described in the Order to Show Cause (the “Notice Program”), and the Court found that the Notice Program was the best notice practicable, was reasonably calculated to put interested persons on notice of the proceeding, and constituted due and sufficient notice of the proceeding in satisfaction of federal and state due process requirements and other applicable laws; and

WHEREAS, the Order to Show Cause directed that interested persons respond to the Petition on or before May 30, 2018; and

² The term “loan group” as used herein refers to any loan group, loan subgroup, loan pool, loan subpool, or any other applicable grouping, pooling, or other assemblage of loans.

WHEREAS, no interested persons appeared with respect to the No Appearance Settlement Trusts³ listed on Exhibit A hereto (the “Subject No Appearance Trusts”); and

WHEREAS, for the No Appearance Settlement Trusts, including the Subject No Appearance Trusts, the Court entered a Modified Interim Order Concerning Settlement Trusts for Which No Parties Have Appeared (Doc No. 222), which provided that, “within forty-five (45) days after [a] Merits Ruling becomes final and non-appealable..., the Relevant Petitioners shall file proposed orders with the Court to resolve the issues for the No Appearance Settlement Trusts” (Doc. No. 222 at 3); and

WHEREAS, it appears that no Merits Ruling will issue in this proceeding; and

WHEREAS, Petitioner Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (“Wells Fargo”)⁴ acts as Trustee (in such capacity, the “Subject Trustee”) and securities administrator, paying agent, and/or calculation agent for the Subject No Appearance Trusts (in such capacity the “Subject Payment Administrator”); and

WHEREAS, Wells Fargo has determined that a proposed order resolving the issues for the Subject No Appearance Trusts should be filed; and

WHEREAS, the only issue in this proceeding concerning the Subject No Appearance Trusts was whether the “Write-Up First” or “Pay-First” methodology should be used in distributing the Settlement Payments for the Subject No Appearance Trusts; and

³ The No Appearance Settlement Trusts are trusts for which none of the certificateholders who have appeared in this matter have claimed an interest, as described more fully in the Court’s Interim Order Concerning Settlement Trusts for Which No Parties Have Appeared (Doc. No. 106).

⁴ On November 1, 2021, Wells Fargo sold substantially all of its corporate trust services business to Computershare Trust Company, National Association (“Computershare”). Since November 1, 2021, Computershare has been acting as Wells Fargo’s agent and attorney-in-fact for the Subject No Appearance Trusts. For purposes of this order, the terms “Wells Fargo”, “Subject Trustee” and “Subject Payment Administrator” shall include Computershare.

WHEREAS, Wells Fargo has analyzed the difference in distributing the Settlement Payments currently available for the Subject No Appearance Trusts using the “Write-Up First” or “Pay-First” methodology based on actual data for the Subject No Appearance Trusts as of March 31, 2023.⁵ Based on that analysis, Wells Fargo has determined that there is either no difference in the share of Settlement Payments classes of certificates will receive or any differences are de minimis. Wells Fargo has concluded that the difference between the use of the two methodologies, in each Subject No Appearance Trust, is:

<u>Trust</u>	<u>Per Class Distribution Difference as a % of Settlement Payment</u>
BNCMT 2007-4	No difference
LMT 2007-9	0.8% distribution difference spread over six classes
LMT 2008-2	5.8% distribution difference spread over four classes

WHEREAS, Wells Fargo is currently holding approximately \$12,400,00 in Settlement Payments for the Subject No Appearance Trusts in escrow; and

WHEREAS, Wells Fargo now seeks an order finally resolving the issues related to the Subject No Appearance Trusts, instructing Wells Fargo to apply the “Write-Up First” methodology to distribute the available Settlement Payments to the No Appearance Settlement Trusts; and

WHEREAS, as used herein, the term “Judgment Entry Date” means the first date on which counsel of record to the parties hereto receive a copy of this Order signed by the Court by email or NYSCEF service, without regard to when the Court actually signs or the Clerk actually entered this Order; and

⁵ Wells Fargo has not made any forward-looking projections and the percentage differences for the Subject Settlement Funds could change in the future based on the amount of Subject Settlement Funds available and the actual performance of the Subject No Appearance Trusts after March 31, 2023.

WHEREAS, as used herein, the term “Transfer Month” means the second calendar month occurring after the Judgment Entry Date; and

WHEREAS, as used herein, the term “Transfer Target Date” means the fifteenth (15th) day of the Transfer Month; and

WHEREAS, as used herein, the term “AS Distribution Date” means the distribution date (as that term is defined in the applicable Governing Agreements) for each of the Subject No Appearance Trusts occurring in the Transfer Month; and

WHEREAS, all capitalized terms used below and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Petition and the Trust Agreements; and

NOW, THEREFORE, on the motion of Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, attorneys for Petitioner Wells Fargo, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that, on or before the Transfer Target Date, the Subject Trustee is to (i) cause escrowed assets to be liquidated to cash with a value equal to the Settlement Payment for each Subject No Appearance Trust (the “Subject Settlement Payments”) plus any investments earnings thereon, and (ii) cause the Subject Settlement Payments and investment earnings thereon to be deposited in the respective distribution accounts of the Subject No Appearance Trusts. From the time of the aforescribed deposit, the amount so deposited shall be deemed the Subject Settlement Payments for the Subject No Appearance Trusts for all purposes under the Settlement Agreements and this Order; and it is further

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that sums representing investment earnings accrued on the Subject Settlement Payments not received at the time escrowed assets are liquidated to cash pursuant to the immediately preceding paragraph (“Trailing Interest”) shall be distributed on the later of the AS Distribution Date or the Distribution Date for the month following the month

such Trailing Interest is received by the Subject Trustee. Any Trailing Interest shall be deemed a Subject Settlement Payment for the Subject No Appearance Trust on whose Settlement Payment such Trailing Interest was accrued, and any Trailing Interest shall be administered and distributed as a Subject Settlement Payment subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreements and this Order; and

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the Subject Payment Administrator shall distribute the Subject Settlement Payments to certificateholders of the Subject No Appearance Trusts on the AS Distribution Date; and it is further

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that in administering and distributing the Subject Settlement Payments for the Subject No Appearance Trusts, the Subject Payment Administrator (i) shall first increase the applicable certificate principal balances in the amount of the Settlement Payment Write-Up or a portion thereof (the “Written-Up Certificate Principal Balances”), and, after applying the Settlement Payment Write-Up, (ii) shall then distribute the Subject Settlement Payments to certificateholders based on the Written-Up Certificate Principal Balances, in each of the cases of (i) and (ii) above in a manner consistent with the other terms of this Order; and it is further

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that any aspects of the administration and distribution of the Subject Settlement Payments not expressly addressed in this Order shall be performed as provided for in the Governing Agreements and the Settlement Agreements; and it is further

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this Order is not applicable to, and shall be without prejudice to and shall have no precedential effect on, (i) any argument of any party concerning the appropriate administration and distribution of the Settlement Payments for Subject

Settlement Trusts other than the Subject No Appearance Trusts; (ii) the Subject Settlement Trusts for which no parties have appeared in this proceeding or any trust, indenture, or other securitization other than the Subject No Appearance Trusts; or (iii) any applications to certificate balances (e.g., write-ups) or distributions of payments or funds, or the timing thereof, other than the Subject Settlement Payments; and it is further

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this Order shall not preclude any argument of any party concerning whether the Petition should be dismissed with respect to the Subject Settlement Trusts other than the Subject No Appearance Trusts; and it is further

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that certificateholders, noteholders, and any other parties claiming rights or interests in any of the Subject No Appearance Trusts are barred from asserting claims against Wells Fargo with respect to any conduct taken to implement and comply with the terms of this Order and with respect to Wells Fargo's administration and distribution of the Settlement Payments with respect to such Subject No Appearance Trust, so long as such conduct is performed in accordance with the terms of this Order; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk of New York County be, and hereby is, directed to enter this Order forthwith and without delay.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2023

Hon. Melissa Crane, J.S.C.

Judgment signed and entered this ____ day of _____, 2023.

Clerk of New York County

EXHIBIT A

Trust	Payment Admin.	Trustee
BNCMT 2007-4	Wells Fargo	Wells Fargo
LMT 2007-9	Wells Fargo	Wells Fargo
LMT 2008-2	Wells Fargo	Wells Fargo